PRISONER'S CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT (Rev. 05/2015)

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

Umise States Courts Southern District of Texas FILED

FEB 1 3 2018

Matthew James Leachman	David J. Bradley, Clerk of Court
SPN 01525039	
Plaintiff's Name and ID Number	
Harris County Jail	
Place of Confinement	
	CASE NO.
	(Clerk will assign the number)
V. Harris County, Texas	
County Judge's Office, 1001 Preston, Ste 911, Houston, Tx Defendant's Name and Address 77002	
Sheriff Ed Gonzales	Dr. David Smith
1200 Baker Street, Houston, TX 77002	1200 Baker Street, Houston, TX 77002
Defendant's Name and Address	, ,
Dr. Marcus Guice	Dr. Alan Harper
1200 Baker Street, Houston, Tx 77002	1200 Baker Street, Houston, Tx 77007
Defendant's Name and Address	
(DO NOT USE "ET AL.")	
INCTPHOTONIC DEAD	CADEETITY

INSTRUCTIONS - READ CAREFULLY

NOTICE:

Your complaint is subject to dismissal unless it conforms to these instructions and this form.

- 1. To start an action you must file an original and one copy of your complaint with the court. You should keep a copy of the complaint for your own records.
- 2. Your complaint must be <u>legibly</u> handwritten, in ink, or typewritten. You, the plaintiff, must sign and declare under penalty of perjury that the facts are correct. If you need additional space, <u>DO NOT USE THE REVERSE</u> <u>SIDE OR BACK SIDE OF ANY PAGE</u>. ATTACH AN ADDITIONAL BLANK PAGE AND WRITE ON IT.
- 3. You must file a separate complaint for each claim you have unless the various claims are all related to the same incident or issue or are all against the same defendant, Rule 18, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Make a short and plain statement of your claim, Rule 8, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 4. When these forms are completed, mail the original and one copy to the clerk of the United States district court for the appropriate district of Texas in the division where one or more named defendants are located, or where the incident giving rise to your claim for relief occurred. If you are confined in the Texas Department of Criminal Justice, Correctional Institutions Division (TDCJ-CID), the list labeled as "VENUE LIST" is posted in your unit law library. It is a list of the Texas prison units indicating the appropriate district court, the division and an address list of the divisional clerks.

FILING FEE AND IN FORMA PAUPERIS (IFP)

- 1. In order for your complaint to be filed, it must be accompanied by the statutory filing fee of \$350.00 plus an administrative fee of \$50.00 for a total fee of \$400.00.
- 2. If you do not have the necessary funds to pay the fee in full at this time, you may request permission to proceed *in forma pauperis*. In this event you must complete the application to proceed *in forma pauperis*, setting forth information to establish your inability to prepay the fees and costs or give security therefor. You must also include a current six-month history of your inmate trust account. If you are an inmate in TDCJ-CID, you can acquire the application to proceed *in forma pauperis* and the certificate of inmate trust account, also known as *in forma pauperis* data sheet, from the law library at your prison unit.
- 3. The Prison Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (PLRA) provides "...if a prisoner brings a civil action or files an appeal *in forma pauperis*, the prisoner shall be required to pay the full amount of a filing fee." See 28 U.S.C. § 1915. Thus, the court is required to assess and, when funds exist, collect, the entire filing fee or an initial partial filing fee and monthly installments until the entire amount of the filing fee has been paid by the prisoner. If you submit the application to proceed *in forma pauperis*, the court will apply 28 U.S.C. § 1915 and, if appropriate, assess and collect the entire filing fee or an initial partial filing fee, then monthly installments from your inmate trust account, until the entire \$350.00 statutory filing fee has been paid. (The \$50.00 administrative fee does not apply to cases proceeding *in forma pauperis*.)
- 4. If you intend to seek *in forma pauperis* status, do not send your complaint without an application to proceed *in forma pauperis* and the certificate of inmate trust account. Complete all essential paperwork before submitting it to the court.

CHANGE OF ADDRESS

It is your responsibility to inform the court of any change of address and its effective date. Such notice should be marked "NOTICE TO THE COURT OF CHANGE OF ADDRESS" and shall not include any motion for any other relief. Failure to file a NOTICE TO THE COURT OF CHANGE OF ADDRESS may result in the dismissal of your complaint pursuant to Rule 41(b), Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

I. PREVIOUS LAWSUITS:

II.

B.	If your answer to "A" is "yes," describe each lawsuit in the space below. (If there is more than one lawsuit, describe the additional lawsuits on another piece of paper, giving the same information.) 1. Approximate date of filing lawsuit: May 1997
	2. Parties to previous lawsuit:
	Plaintiff(s) Matthew James Leachman
	Defendant(s) Tommy Thomas, in capacity as Sheriff
	3. Court: (If federal, name the district; if state, name the county.) S.D. Tex.
	4. Cause number: H-97-cv-1722
	5. Name of judge to whom case was assigned: I don't remember
	6. Disposition: (Was the case dismissed, appealed, still pending?) Thomas's MSJ granted - appealed.
	7. Approximate date of disposition: 1999 (?)

PART I (PREVIOUS LAWSUITS) CONTINUED:
C. In March 2004, I filed Matthew James Leachman v. William Stephens & Karri L. Hansford, No. 160, 142-B, in the 78th District Court of Wichitz Fully County, Texas. (W. Bernard Findge, J.). Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment granted — appealed — affirmed — petition for review denied— petition for certionari pending in U.S. Supreme Court.
D. In May 2012, I filed Matthrw James Leachman v. Louis C. Loomis, Jr. 8. William Stephens, No. 176, 990-C, in the 89th District Court of Wirchite County, Texas (Price, J.). I am not clear on the Status of this suit. It appears Judge Price may have entered a void judgment while a motion to recuse him was pending. I did not, however, receive a copy of the judgment. I am working on obtaining one.
Note to the Court: this does not include criminal or habeas corpus actions challenging my conviction or my charges — only civil suits related to my imprisonment.
P. 2-A

ES0085-01-07

III.	EX	EXHAUSTION OF GRIEVANCE PROCEDURES: Have you exhausted all steps of the institutional grievance procedure? XYESNO							
	На								
	At	tach a copy of your final step of the grievance procedure with the response supplied by the institution.							
IV.	PA	ARTIES TO THIS SUIT:							
	A.	Name and address of plaintiff: Matthew James Leachman, SPN 01525039,							
	Harris County Jail, 1200 Baker Street, Houston, Texas 77002								
	B. Full name of each defendant, his official position, his place of employment, and his full mailing address.								
	Defendant#1: Harris County, Texas (in capacity as municipality), Count Judge's Office, 1001 Preston, Suite 911, Houston, Texas 7700Z.								
		Briefly describe the act(s) or omission(s) of this defendant which you claimed harmed you.							
		Acquiescence in longstanding de facto extraction-only dentel policy.							
		Defendant#2: Ed Gonzalez (in capacity as Sheriff of Harris County), Harris County Sheriff's Office, 1200 Baker Street, Houston, Texas 77002.							
		Briefly describe the act(s) or omission(s) of this defendant which you claimed harmed you. Failure to correct Jail's de facto extraction-only dental policy.							
	Defendant #3: Dr. Marcus Guice (in personal and official capacity), Medical Direc								
	Harris Co. Sheriff's Office, 1200 Baker Street, Houston, Texas TtooZ.								
	Briefly describe the act(s) or omission(s) of this defendant which you claimed harmed you.								
		Personally knew of imadequate dental policy; failed to correct extraction-only policy							
	Defendant #4: Dr. David Smith (in personal capacity), Jail Dentist, Harris (
		Sheriff's Office, 1200 Baker Street, Houston, Texas 77002 Briefly describe the act(s) or omission(s) of this defendant which you claimed harmed you.							
		Personally refused adequate dental treatment; offered only tooth extraction -							
		Defendant #5: Dr. Alan Harper (in personal capacity), Jail Dentist, Harris Co.							
		Sheriff's Office, 1200 Baker Street, Houston, Texas 77007							
		Briefly describe the act(s) or omission(s) of this defendant which you claimed harmed you.							
		Personally refused adequate dental treatment; extracted a tooth.							

V. STATEMENT OF CLAIM:

State here in a short and plain statement the facts of your case, that is, what happened, where did it happen, when did it happen, and who was involved. Describe how <u>each</u> defendant is involved. <u>You need not give any legal arguments or cite any cases or statutes</u>. If you intend to allege a number of related claims, number and set forth each claim in a separate paragraph. Attach extra pages if necessary, but remember the complaint must be stated briefly and concisely. IF YOU VIOLATE THIS RULE, THE COURT MAY STRIKE YOUR COMPLAINT.

•	BACKGROUND: During 2000-2015, while I was in TDCJ, I obtained regular
	destal care: prophylactic cleaning and Fluoride treatments, fillings, 1 extraction from
	medical necessity. In October 2015, When I was bench-warranted to the Harris
	County Jail, I was waiting for dental treatment. I mentioned this during
	booking into the jail, and the provider (I believe it was an LVN) examined my
	teeth visually, told me it "looked good" with no swelling, and told me that
	the dental department was "pretty much limited to emergency care."
	NARRATIVE OF PERSONALLY KNOWN FACTS: On September 19, 2016, a piece
	of my back motor broke off while I was chewing. Since this seemed to me to
VI.	qualify as an emergency, I submitted a "medical request" form to dental [MORE]
	State briefly exactly what you want the court to do for you. Make no legal arguments. Cite no cases or
	statutes. Nominal, actual, and punitive damages —
	Damages, jointly and severally: \$ 10,000 from each personal debendant; \$100,000 from municipality
VII.	Equitable relief: Jail must adopt dental program with cleanings and fillings; Declaration that current policy is unconstitutional; fees and costs; attorney's fees, if applicable GENERAL BACKGROUND INFORMATION:
	A. State, in complete form, all names you have ever used or been known by including any and all aliases.
	Matthew James Leachman, Matt Leachman, Hugh Perry Hootman, Perry Hootman
	B. List all TDCJ-CID identification numbers you have ever been assigned and all other state or federal prison or FBI numbers ever assigned to you.
VIII.	TDCJ # 903617. NOTE: I was arrested in 1996, tried and arquitted in federal court. I know I had a number in each place the Marshals moved or Kept me, SANCTIONS: but I have no records of these numbers and do not remember them now.
	A. Have you been sanctioned by any court as a result of any lawsuit you have filed? YES X NO
	B. If your answer is "yes," give the following information for every lawsuit in which sanctions were imposed. (If more than one, use another piece of paper and answer the same questions.)
	1. Court that imposed sanctions (if federal, give the district and division):
	2. Case number:
	3. Approximate date sanctions were imposed:
	4. Have the sanctions been lifted or otherwise satisfied? YES NO

PART V (STATEMENT OF CLAIM) CONTINUED:
via the medical dropbox in the cell. I was not called to dental for an
evaluation until October 26, 2016, when I was examined by a dentist who
identified himself as Dr. Harper. He said the appointment was an "annual checkape"
Dr. Harper examined my X-rays and visually examined my teeth. He said I
had a "stage three" cavity in the broken tooth and two developing cavities in
other teeth. In great detail, Dr. Harper explained the mechanical load provided
or performed by that molar and advised me that saving it would be of great
brosefit, but that the only way to same it would be to wait until I left
on release, wait until I returned to TDCL, or have my family arrange for
an outside dentist to come to the jail, with his/her own equipment and
stall, to perform the work. Dr. Harper specifically said that the jail
policy was to do extractions only. He said my only choices on that day
were to submit to, or refuse, extraction. He suggested that I try to
save the tooth if possible and assured me that if I failed to obtain other
care, and the pain grew too bad, he would pull the tooth at that time.
Based on his representations, I refused extraction on that date.
On October 28, 2016, I filled out a grievance that set out the facts
surrounding my tooth and my dental visit. I complained of the extraction -
only policy and requested care to save my tooth. On November 15, 2016,
I received a response from the Grievance Board, dated November 10th,
declaring my complaint a non-grievable issue.
In November 2011e, very soon after my first dental visit - probably within
three weeks - I was called back to dental for an annual checkup. On that
occasion, Dr. Harper was again the dentist who examined me, and we had
substantially the same conversation we had the first time (including
p. 4-A

ES0085-01-07

another demonstration, with visual aids, of why saving my broken tooth
would be of great benefit). Dr. Harper confirmed that it was still possible
to save the major with a filling on that date.
Throughout December, I made an effort to locate a dentist willing
to donate pro bono services or arrange a long-term payment plan, and
I verified that no one in my family - most of whom live in Arkansas, and
none of whom live in or near Houston - was in a financial or logistical
position to help me. During this period, a low-level discomfort from my
tooth was constant, and I had to take special care when eating because
any pressure on the broken molar, such as inadvertent chewing, caused
sharp pain.
In January 2017, I began to experience episodes of more intense,
throbbing pain, which I reported to the sick-call nurse during his morn-
ing rounds on January 12, 2017. Later that day, the nurse examined
me at the triage office and said he was making a dental appointment.
He apologized about the pain, saying that the dental department would
not do priority scheduling unless there was swelling and fever.
Over the next 41 days, the episodes of intense, throbbing pain contin-
ued and grew worse, sometimes waking me up ; twice, episodes were
preceded by a sudden bolt of pain strong enough to make my eyes
water and Knees buckle. I treated the pain with OTC medication
obtained from commissary and from the nurse.
On February 22, 2017, I saw Dr. Harper again. As before, he empha-
sized the importance of the molar - which he identified as #19 - in the
mechanics of chewing. He asked whether there was any chance I could
get a dentist to come to the jail or any chance I would leave the
p. 4-B

jail soon. When I advised him that there was no chance of either and
described the pain, he agreed that extraction was necessary. The
extraction was difficult, including a broken root that had to be cut
out. Recovery was slow and more painful than prior extractions I have
experienced, including the extraction of all four of my wisdom teeth at
once during my service in the U.S. Navy.
On February 27, 2017, I filed another grievance, complaining that the
extraction-only policy had now cost me one tooth and that others needed
saving. I never received the "interim response" form with the assigned
grievance number, but it appears from documents provided to me that the
number is 20021 (the number 20237, however, also appears on one docu-
ment).
On March 14, 2017, the medical department's grievance investigator spoke
to me about my grievance. She acknowledged that the dental department
was working under an extraction-only policy; although she was sympathe-
tic about inmates' inability to receive fillings, she said nothing could
be done. She said she was marking my grievance "resolved."
On March 20, 2017, I appealed her findings.
On May 16, 2017, Lieutenant Buntyn met with me to discuss my appeal. He
informed me that Captain Taylor made the decision that the appeal was "unfounded
because the Jail's written policy called for providing inmates with fillings.
When I protested that even the grievance investigator admitted that dental
was using an extraction-only policy, Lt. Buntyn said that it didn't matter be-
cause Capt. Taylor was looking only at the official, written policy.
On January 5, 2018, I received my second "annual checkup" from a
dentist who identified himself as Dr. David Smith. He said that my teeth
P. 4-C

looked "fairly and" but that I needed those fillions I asked it be would
looked "fairly good" but that I needed three fillings. I asked if he would
do the fillings, and he said, "We don't really do that." He said the Jail's
role is to identify problems that the inmate can resolve after leaving the jail.
His dental assistant said, "We don't even have amalgam." Dr. Smith then said,
"Right. We just don't have the equipment."
Within a week of my visit with Dr. Smith, two areas he probed and iden
tified as having decay - one area on each lower side of my mouth - chipped
and broke off from those teeth. I currently experience minor discomfort in
those areas and fear losing more teeth soon.
Finally, for purposes of this pleading, I assert as fact that Sheriff Gonza-
lez, Medical Director Guice, and senior jail policymaking staff personally
Knew the dental department had adopted an extraction-only policy, custon,
or practice. My assertion is based on the reasonable belief I formed from
Knowledge of the litigation in Baughman v. Garcia, 254 F. Supp. 3d 848 (S.D.
Tex. 2017), and I expect to establish this knowledge more fully in discovery.
UNDER THESE FACTS, I MAKE THE FOLLOWING CLAIMS:
In The municipality of Harris County, Texas, acting by and through its
policymakers, allowed and endorsed a custom, practice, or policy of extraction-
only dental services at the Harris County Jail; the policy is deliberately Indif
Ferent to, and fails to adequately treat, serious medical needs; the policy
harmed me by inflicting unnecessary pain, causing the loss of a salvageable
tooth, and failing to prevent further decay; and, the policy continues to
harm me, all in violation of the Eighth and Fourteenth Amendments.
P. 4-D

ES0085-01-07

2. Ed Gonzalez, in his official capacity as Sheriff of Harris County,
Texas, has endorsed and allowed the extraction-only policy by failing to
take corrective action, exposing me (and all other inmates) to the risk
of serious medical harm, in violation of the Eighth and Fourteenth Amendment.
3. Dr. Marcus Guice, in his personal capacity, knew that the department he
Supervised had, and has, an extraction-only policy, and - though he had
authority to correct the de facto policy - took no corrective action, which
resulted in my unnecessary pain and suffering, the loss of my salvageable tooth,
and the decay of other teeth, and which continues to born me, all in viola-
tion of the Eighth and Fourteenth Amendments.
4. Dr. Marcus Guice, in his official capacity as Medical Director, has endorsed
and allowed the extraction-only policy by failing to take corrective action, ex-
posing me (and all other inmates) to the risk of serious medical harm, in
violation of the Eighth and Fourteenth Amendments.
5. Dr. David Smith, in his personal capacity, Knowing of a serious medical condition
- cavities that, if not treated, will continue to decay, with a near certainty
of causing pain, suffering, and tooth loss - refused to provide adequate treat-
ment, causing ongoing harm that worsens each day, in violation of the
Eighth and Fourteenth Amendment.
6. Dr. Alan Harper, in his personal capacity, Knowing of a serious medical
condition - a partially broken molar and dental cavities - refused to provide
adequate treatment, causing me unnecessary pain and suffering and the
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
p- 4-E

9	, ,	11	1.1	r ,	, ,	D	
cay, in vi	olation of	the Ei	ghth and	tourteen	th Amen	dments.	
		•					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
			•				
			•			•	
							
		-					
						<u> </u>	
							
·							
			·				
		-					
					<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
		· · ·					
	 						
			,	-			
·					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						<u></u>
			p. 4-	E	٠		

		•	•
C.	Has any court ever warned or notified you that sanction	s could be imposed?	yes_X_no
D.	If your answer is "yes," give the following information (If more than one, use another piece of paper and answer	•	arning was issued.
	1. Court that issued warning (if federal, give the district	t and division):	
	2. Case number:	N/	
	3. Approximate date warning was issued:	/A	
Executed of	on: 03 Feb 2018 DATE	Mattheus lames (Signature of Plaintiff	eachnan
PLAINTI	FF'S DECLARATIONS		
1.	I declare under penalty of perjury all facts presented in the correct.	nis complaint and attachments	thereto are true and
2.	I understand, if I am released or transferred, it is my responsible address and failure to do so may result in the di		rmed of my current
	I understand I must exhaust all available administrative	remedies prior to filing this la	
	I understand I am prohibited from bringing an <i>in forma</i> civil actions or appeals (from a judgment in a civil action or detained in any facility, which lawsuits were dismiss or failed to state a claim upon which relief may be grant physical injury.) in a court of the United States sed on the ground they were fri ed, unless I am under imminen	while incarcerated ivolous, malicious, at danger of serious
5.	I understand even if I am allowed to proceed without pre- filing fee and costs assessed by the court, which shall be inmate trust account by my custodian until the filing fee	be deducted in accordance wit	
Signed thi	s day of February (month)	$_{-}$, 20 $\frac{8}{\text{(year)}}$.	·
		Matthew James	Leachman
		11 -1	
	,	(Signature of Plaintiff	i)

WARNING: Plaintiff is advised any false or deliberately misleading information provided in response to the above questions may result in the imposition of sanctions. The sanctions the court may impose include, but are not limited to, monetary sanctions and the dismissal of this action with prejudice.

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	·	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	·	
<u> </u>		
APPENDIX		
1 6		·
1. Copy of original grievance		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
2. Copy of grievance appeal	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·
3. Notice re: grievance appeal		
4. Result of grievance appeal		
2		·
	<u> </u>	
······································		
		
		·
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
•		
	·	`
		<u> </u>
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
<u> </u>		
		
,		•

Grievance Board Use ONLY:	HARRIS COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE Inmate Affairs Division INMATE COMPLAINT FORM		CE
Code No:			Grievance Board Use ONLY
Complaint No:	Forma para Quejas de los Presos		
Inmate Name (Nombre: del Preso):		Inmate SPN# (Numero del SPN Preso):	
Matthew Leachman Juli Facility, check one World de Carcel, in		0 \5 250 39 Housing Assignment (Vivienda Asignada);
1200 🖸 701	☐ 711 ☐ 1307	2-1-1	
Date of Incident (Fecha de Incidente): 22 Feb 2017	Specify how and when informa	Il resolution was attempted (Especifique como	y cuando trado de hallar una solucion informal);
Time of Incident (Tiempo de Incidente):	Deputy's Name (Nombre de De	mutu): MENICA	
app= 1130-1400	Sergeants Name (Nombre de Sa	N = 11	
State your Complaint: Include docum supporting your claim and the date onecessary. Note: Only one issue is to be presented o	of the incident. Attach additional	ESPECIFIQUE LA QUEJA: I pages it go que certfique su queja y necessario. Nota: Solumente se puede pres	Incluya documentacion, nombres de cualquier testi- fecha del incidente. Agregue paginas adicionales es centar un asunto en cada forma.
On the reference	d date, my to	wh (#19 a bottom	moint) was pulled.
		al issue presents	
,		, t	
EIRST, I did 1	not want to loc	se my tooth In 1	Wavenber, at my one-
		f .	ace-three county hut
that it could be sa	red! He said	that Jail policy was	s extraction - only but
my family could bir	e a dentist to c	ome to the Jail. F	te encouraged me to
Sove the troth if	possible because	e it was important	- For chewing. He
			bad and it my family
couldo't belp.		lana (a.)	
			<u> </u>
No one was abje	- to belp me q	et an outside dente	st. On January 12th
I reported to the	ourse that it is	just 400 much 40 m	sait any longer, and
			pain to be seen.
SECOND I Was	charged \$15 f	for the extraction	even though it was
a follow-up to an	issue identified	I at my on-year o	heckup. The freetheat
plan from the one	Mear Checkey W	acity to get an o	outside dentist, extract
if outside dentist	unavailable.	As a follow-up treate	nont, the extraction
		<u> </u>	Koont d on back
Action Requested (Action solicituda):		من ا	Same to the same of the same o
		mproper charge (#	
			tooth, such as providing
1 0	dental implant.		· Pin
3.) The Jail must provide dental services, including cleaning and fillings, to prevent further lost teeth.			
to prevent du	ther lost teeth.		A :
Inmate Signature (Firma del presor	Date (F <u>cylja)</u>	For Greivance Board U	Use ONLY
143	27 F	eb 2017	

should not have resulted in a charge.
Extraction-only policies have been repeatedly held unconstitutional.
National standards for correctional health care REQUIRE both preventive
· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
treatment (cleanings) and ameliorative treatment (Fillings, caps) to save
teethe The dail is responsible for my lost tooth - a permanent
disfigurement that also interferes with daily life, i.e., eating - because
of its inadequate dental staffing and unconstitutional policy. The
dail is also responsible for my 41 days of unnecessary pain, which
also appears to be a result of inadequate staffing
The Jail must take action to bring its destal department up to
nationally accepted constitutional standards I am likely to be
here for some time to come, and Dr. Harper has advised me that I
have cavities that need work. If the Jail does not act it will be
responsible for further lost teeth.
Total Indiana
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Case 4:18-cv-00457 Document 1 Filed on 02/13/18 in TXSD Page 16 of 18

:	
76:	DIVISION COMMANDER, ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES
FROM:	MATTHEW LEACHMAN, 01525639, JAO9 (Z-1)
DATE	20 MARCH 2017
	
:	The Approximation of the Appro
	This is an APPEAL of a grievance investigated on
	14 March 2017 by the medical grievance coordinator. I
	was never told the grievance number.
,	
	The medical coordinator considered the governce "resolved"
	because the jail's extraction-only policy is according to her
	the only viable option for the jail. I acknowledged by my
	signature that I was notified of her findings
,	
	Medical may consider this matter "resolved"; I do not A
	large number of court decisions have found extraction-only policies
· .	are unconstitutional. This policy has already cost me one
	tooth, and on 16 March 2017, Dr. Harper warned me that two
	other teeth need treatment soon if they are to be saved.
	I file this arroad to out you so voctice that this matter
	I file this appeal to put you on notice that this matter is not resolved and will not be resolved until s [1] the Jail
	takes remedial measures to compensate me for the harm already
	done (lost tooth, pain and suffering); [2] the Jail takes action
	the course on the death of the last of death
	to save my remaining teeth; and [3] the Jail adopts a dental
	policy and staffing level that is constitutionally adequate.
	Matthew J. Leadyman
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	ce: File
	
	!

April 28, 2017

TO:

Inmate Matthew Leachman (01525039)

FR:

Captain R. Taylor

Administrative Services Division

RE:

Appeal of Grievance #20021

Inmate Leachman, I am in receipt of your Appeal of Grievance #20021 (Medical) relating to the issue of tooth extraction.

I am in contact with the Medical Divison's administrative staff and we are working toward a resolution to the issue(s) you have raised. When a definitive resolution/result has been determined, I will again contact you and advise you of my findings and resulting determination regarding your Appeal.

Captain R. Taylor

Administrative Services Division

Case 4:18-cv-00457 Decree Ance Respectively Form

INMATE GRIEVANCE BOARD 1200

APR 13 2017

To:

Re:

CAPTAIN R. TAYLOR

ADMIN SERVICES DIVISION

From: Inmate Grievance Board

Inmate: LEACHMAN

MATTHEW

Date Received

4/10/2017

Date Due

4/24/2017

SPN#

1525039

Ref: GRIEVANCE # 20237

The Inmate Grievance Board has received a grievance from the above listed Inmate. Please investigate this grievance, a copy of which is enclosed with this form, and determine whether you can resolve the problem. Give a full and complete report of your actions in the space provided. After the completion of your investigation, BOTH YOU AND THE INMATE MUST sign and date the form. It is imperative that this form be returned to the Board within ****FOURTEEN DAYS (14) ****of receipt.

Ç	I have inv	estigated this gri	evance and	determined it to be: (Check One)
Unfounded	Founded/Resolved	Founded/Unresolved	ATW/TDC	OIG/IAD or Bureau Investigation (Case # Required)
Describe action taken				

GRIEVANCE APPEAL FOR #20021

Provided copy of HC50 policy on Dental Gave Treatment and NCCHC Policy on Oral Care.

INMATE COPY

My signature below indicates I have been advised as	to the determination of this issue.	
Inmate's Signature: Supervisor's Signature:	Inmate's printed name: Matthew Loachman Supervisor's printed name: R.S. Buntyn	_ Date: 5 /16 / 17 _ Date: 5 /16 / 17
Approved by:	Print:	Date://